

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/713,749	Applicant(s) LAFONTAINE ET AL.	
	Examiner Ahmed M Farah	Art Unit 3739	

All Participants:

(1) Ahmed M Farah.

(2) Scott S. Kokka (Reg. No. 51,893).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 February 2005

Time: 11:30 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

64-69 and 77

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Although Claims 64-69 and 77 were cancelled in the Remarks section of the amendment filed on Nov. 18, 2004, they are listed as "Withdrawn" in the claims listing section. Claim 86 fials to further limit its parent claim. And, claim 87 refers to a "method" instead of an apparatus. Applicant's representative agreed to clancel these claims by Examiner's Amendment. See the attached Examiner's Amendment..